By: Senator(s) Ross To: Judiciary

SENATE BILL NO. 2031

1	AN	ACT	TO A	MEND	SEC	CIT!	V	11-1-63,	MIS	SSIS	SSIPE	PI C	ODE	OF	1972,	TO
2	DDOTTEDE			ОПТТ		\sim \sim 7	۸.	DDODIIAM	T.TT.T.	т О	MACO	mitm	1 1 1 7 7 1	TT TTT 7	Amttoni	_

- 2 PROVIDE THAT THE SELLER OF A PRODUCT WHO IS NOT THE MANUFACTURER 3 SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR CERTAIN MANUFACTURING DEFECTS; AND FOR
- 4 RELATED PURPOSES.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:
- 6 SECTION 1. Section 11-1-63, Mississippi Code of 1972, is
- 7 amended as follows:
- 8 11-1-63. In any action for damages caused by a product
- 9 except for commercial damage to the product itself:
- 10 (a) The manufacturer or seller of the product shall not
- 11 be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of
- 12 the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the
- 13 manufacturer or seller:
- 14 (i) 1. The product was defective because it
- 15 deviated in a material way from the manufacturer's specifications
- 16 or from otherwise identical units manufactured to the same
- 17 manufacturing specifications, or
- 18 2. The product was defective because it
- 19 failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions, or
- 3. The product was designed in a defective
- 21 manner, or
- 22 4. The product breached an express warranty
- 23 or failed to conform to other express factual representations upon
- 24 which the claimant justifiably relied in electing to use the
- 25 product; and
- 26 (ii) The defective condition rendered the product
- 27 unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer; and

28 (iii) The defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the product proximately caused the damages for which 29 30 recovery is sought.

(b) A product is not defective in design or formulation if the harm for which the claimant seeks to recover compensatory damages was caused by an inherent characteristic of the product 33 which is a generic aspect of the product that cannot be eliminated without substantially compromising the product's usefulness or 35 desirability and which is recognized by the ordinary person with the ordinary knowledge common to the community.

(i) In any action alleging that a product is defective because it failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller, the manufacturer or seller knew or in light of reasonably available knowledge should have known about the danger that caused the damage for which recovery is sought and that the ordinary user or consumer would not realize its dangerous condition.

(ii) An adequate product warning or instruction is one that a reasonably prudent person in the same or similar circumstances would have provided with respect to the danger and that communicates sufficient information on the dangers and safe use of the product, taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to an ordinary consumer who purchases the product; or in the case of a prescription drug, medical device or other product that is intended to be used only under the supervision of a physician or other licensed professional person, taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, a physician or other licensed professional who prescribes the drug, device or other

product.

31

32

34

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

```
(d) In any action alleging that a product is defective
```

- 62 pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the manufacturer or
- 63 seller shall not be liable if the claimant (i) had knowledge of a
- 64 condition of the product that was inconsistent with his safety;
- 65 (ii) appreciated the danger in the condition; and (iii)
- 66 deliberately and voluntarily chose to expose himself to the danger
- 67 in such a manner to register assent on the continuance of the
- 68 dangerous condition.
- (e) In any action alleging that a product is defective
- 70 pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or
- 71 seller shall not be liable if the danger posed by the product is
- 72 known or is open and obvious to the user or consumer of the
- 73 product, or should have been known or open and obvious to the user
- 74 or consumer of the product, taking into account the
- 75 characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, the
- 76 persons who ordinarily use or consume the product.
- 77 (f) In any action alleging that a product is defective
- 78 because of its design pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)3 of this
- 79 section, the manufacturer or product seller shall not be liable if
- 80 the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence
- 81 that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer
- 82 or seller:
- (i) The manufacturer or seller knew, or in light
- 84 of reasonably available knowledge or in the exercise of reasonable
- 85 care should have known, about the danger that caused the damage
- 86 for which recovery is sought; and
- 87 (ii) The product failed to function as expected
- 88 and there existed a feasible design alternative that would have to
- 89 a reasonable probability prevented the harm. A feasible design
- 90 alternative is a design that would have to a reasonable
- 91 probability prevented the harm without impairing the utility,
- 92 usefulness, practicality or desirability of the product to users
- 93 or consumers.

94 (i) The manufacturer of a product who is found liable for a defective product pursuant to subsection (a) shall 95 indemnify a product seller for the costs of litigation, any 96 reasonable expenses, reasonable attorney's fees and any damages 97 98 awarded by the trier of fact unless the seller exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, testing, 99 manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that caused the 100 harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller altered 101 or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a 102 103 substantial factor in causing the harm for which recovery of 104 damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the 105 defective condition of the product at the time he supplied same; 106 or the seller made an express factual representation about the 107 aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought. 108 109 Subparagraph (i) shall not apply unless the 110 seller has given prompt notice of the suit to the manufacturer within thirty (30) days of the filing of the complaint against the 111 112 seller. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the 113 (h) 114 contrary, the seller of a product other than the manufacturer shall not be liable unless the seller exercised substantial 115 control over that aspect of the design, testing, manufacture, 116 117 packaging or labeling of the product that caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller altered or 118 119 modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a 120 substantial factor in causing the harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the 121 122 defective condition of the product at the time he supplied same; or the seller made an express factual representation about the 123 124 aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of damages is sought. Without in any way limiting the foregoing, a 125 126 non-manufacturing seller of legal tobacco products shall not be

- 127 <u>liable for any claim based on a defective condition of the tobacco</u>
- 128 product.
- 129 <u>(i)</u> Nothing in this section shall be construed to
- 130 eliminate any common law defense to an action for damages caused
- 131 by a product.
- 132 SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from
- 133 and after July 1, 1999.